## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,245	-02/03/2004	Stefano Righi	60046.0058USU2 2596	
53377 HOPE BALDA	7590 11/13/2007		EXAMINER	
HOPE BALDAUFF HARTMAN, LLC 1720 PEACHTREE STREET, N.W SUITE 1010 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ROMANO, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
10/771,245	RIGHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John J. Romano	2192	

		7.1.0111 - 1.7.1.1					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John J. Romano	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Matter The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two montl	hs of the date of				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE Delowy,					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	) Illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amondment(s): a)		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the n <del>ow or amended</del> claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-19 and 22-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will no	ot be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.  Other: See Continuation Sheet.							
			•				
•							

Continuation of 13. Other: The arguments presented in the request for reconsideration, received 8/29/2007, are most in view of the Applicant's subsequent claim amendments and remarks received 10/31/2007. The examiner will issue a subsequent formal response corresponding to the 10/31/2007 response.

ERIC B. KISS